

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RACHEL LEAH PASHMAN,
Petitioner,
vs.
STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
Respondents.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01974-GMN-CWH
ORDER

10 The court directed petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for the
11 failure to exhaust available state-court remedies, required by 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). Order (#6).
12 Petitioner has filed a response (#8). Petitioner does not address whether she actually has exhausted
13 her state-court remedies. Instead, she repeats the fanciful allegations of torture by government-
14 implanted microchips. The court is unable to grant petitioner any relief through habeas corpus
15 because she has not exhausted her state-court remedies, and the court will dismiss this action.

Reasonable jurists would not find this conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and the court will not issue a certificate of appealability.

18 Petitioner has filed a motion to combine all related cases (#9) and a motion to stop torture
19 (#10). These motions repeat the fanciful allegations of torture by government-implanted
20 microchips. The court denies these motions as moot because the court is dismissing this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion to combine all related cases (#9) and the motion to stop torture (#10) are **DENIED** as moot.

23 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** for plaintiff's failure to
24 exhaust available state-court remedies. The clerk of the court shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability shall not issue.

26 || **DATED** this 13th day of May, 2015.


Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Court